Logan Square

In one of the city’s fastest growing real estate markets, the Logan Square Blue Line eHub works to address the effects of rapid development in the neighborhood. Working with the Logan Square Neighborhood Association, LUCHA, and the Center for Changing Lives, the initiative is working to establish a community land trust to lower the rate of displacement among the areas largely Latina/o population. In addition, the eHub looks to establish green infrastructure and placemaking initiatives, create affordable housing options, and coordinate financial coaching and employment programs for residents.

Data below represent the indicators and metrics that Elevated Chicago is monitoring as part of its work plan: http://www.elevatedchicago.org/our-work-plan/ [Data last updated: 7/31/2019]

Do you have questions or ideas about these measures? Contact the Elevated Chicago Knowledge Sharing Working Group at ksworkinggroup@gmail.com

### Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>23,294</td>
<td>19,684</td>
<td>19,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latinx</td>
<td>50.1%</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, not Latinx</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander, not Latinx</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, not Latinx</td>
<td>39.8%</td>
<td>50.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Age</td>
<td>31.4 years</td>
<td>32.9 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children &lt;18 years</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older Adults 65+ years</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Housing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Households</td>
<td>8,224</td>
<td>8,280</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Households</td>
<td>5,090</td>
<td>5,548</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Rental Households</td>
<td>61.9%</td>
<td>67.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Rent (2BR)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$1,240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households below poverty level</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Household Income (2017 dollars)</td>
<td>$52,187</td>
<td>$65,614</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Metric Definition</td>
<td>Logan Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased collaboration and cohesion</td>
<td>1. Partners are now working together who don’t usually, or haven’t worked together before</td>
<td>Percentage of Elevated Chicago Steering Committee members who responded that they “strongly agree” that “As a result of SPARCC, partners are working together who don’t usually, or haven’t worked together before”</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Neighborhood belonging; % of adults who report that they feel part of their neighborhood</td>
<td>Percentage of adults age 18+ who report that they strongly agree or agree with the statement: “I really feel part of my neighborhood”</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Community members are engaged as equal partners at the table</td>
<td>Average score of Elevated Chicago Steering Committee members’ responses to the statement: “Please rate the extent to which your table currently engages community members/residents as equal partners at the table, not just providing input, serving as advisors or helping to test ideas” (1 = needs improvement, 2 = adequate, 3 = good, 4 = outstanding)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Community resident perspectives on how Elevated Chicago has impacted collaboration and cohesion</td>
<td>Metric in development</td>
<td>Data available 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased participation in cultural programming</td>
<td>5. Number and demographics of participants in Elevated Chicago programs</td>
<td>Number and demographics of participants in Elevated Chicago funded programs</td>
<td>Data available 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased capacity for advocacy or to influence decision makers</td>
<td>6. Increased capacity of local leaders</td>
<td>Average score of Elevated Chicago Steering Committee members’ responses to the statement: “Please rate the extent to which your table is actively working to build the capacity of local leaders” (1 = needs improvement, 2 = adequate, 3 = good, 4 = outstanding)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased ownership of homes, businesses, land and other assets</td>
<td>7. Home ownership among residents of color</td>
<td>Percentage of households occupied by residents of color that are owned (vs. rented)</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. Retention of locally-owned community assets or businesses</td>
<td>Metric in development</td>
<td>Data available 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are for Elevated Chicago overall
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Metric Definition</th>
<th>Logan Square</th>
<th>Chicago Overall</th>
<th>Data Source, Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improved health and economic equity outcomes for residents of color</td>
<td>9. Average housing and transportation costs as a percent of total income</td>
<td>Average total combined housing and transportation costs divided by total income</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>Center for Neighborhood Technology, Housing + Transportation (H+T) Affordability Index, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10. Employment rate among residents of color</td>
<td>Percent of civilian residents of color age 16 years or older in the labor force who are employed</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2012-2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11. Earnings by residents of color per $1 earned by white residents</td>
<td>Combined average median earnings among residents of color divided by median earnings among white residents</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2012-2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12. Life expectancy</td>
<td>Average number of years an individual is expected to live</td>
<td>80.3 years</td>
<td>77.2 years</td>
<td>Illinois Department of Public Health Death Certificate Files, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13. Experienced mental distress in the past month</td>
<td>Percentage of adults who reported psychological (mental) distress in the past 30 days, based on how often they felt nervous, hopeless, restless, depressed, worthless or that nothing could cheer them up</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>Chicago Department of Public Health, Healthy Chicago Survey, 2015-2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14. eHub walkability</td>
<td>Walkability score based on nearby amenities, parcel size, tree canopy coverage, block length, intersection density, block size, households, jobs and traffic crashes (A higher number indicates better walkability)</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>104**</td>
<td>Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased displacement of people of color</td>
<td>15. Number of affordable housing units created</td>
<td>Number of affordable housing units created as a result of Elevated Chicago</td>
<td>Data available 2020</td>
<td>Data available 2020*</td>
<td>Elevated Chicago Partner Reporting, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16. Jobs accessible within 30 minute transit commute</td>
<td>Total jobs within a 30 minute transit trip</td>
<td>984,346</td>
<td>600,020 (avg TOD location)</td>
<td>Center for Neighborhood Technology, Social Impact Calculator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17. Population change among residents of color</td>
<td>Change in population of residents of color between 2010 and 2017</td>
<td>-18.0%</td>
<td>-1.7%</td>
<td>US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2012-2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are for Elevated Chicago overall; ** Average walk score for 1/4 mile areas around CTA stations
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Metric Definition</th>
<th>Logan Square</th>
<th>Chicago Overall</th>
<th>Data Source, Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decreased climate vulnerability in communities</td>
<td>18. Heat vulnerability</td>
<td>Metric in development</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Chicago Department of Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Flood risk</td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of flood claims</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Center for Neighborhood Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Ambient air quality</td>
<td></td>
<td>Average daytime particulate matter concentrations</td>
<td>Good to Moderate; Some areas unhealthy for sensitive groups**</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>MAPSCorps Youth Observation Study, Summer 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased access to and appreciation for transit access</td>
<td>21. Percentage of residents who view CTA station as a benefit to the community</td>
<td>Percentage of participants who responded “yes” to: “Do you consider the CTA station as a benefit to your community?”</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>95%*</td>
<td>MAPSCorps Youth Intercept Survey, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Accessibility of CTA station</td>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of observations during study period where station elevator is operating</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>91%*</td>
<td>MAPSCorps Youth Observation Study, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Attractiveness of CTA station</td>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of observations during study period where public art is present</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>35%*</td>
<td>MAPSCorps Youth Observation Study, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Perceived safety at CTA station</td>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of participants who responded “yes” to: “Do you feel safe using this CTA station?”</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>77%*</td>
<td>MAPSCorps Youth Intercept Survey, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Average daily trips from CTA station</td>
<td></td>
<td>Average number of riders per day entering CTA station</td>
<td>6,370</td>
<td>3,584</td>
<td>Chicago Transit Authority, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased cultural resiliency</td>
<td>26. Number of landmarks, symbols, cultural spaces and public art created in community</td>
<td>Metric in development</td>
<td>Data available 2020</td>
<td>Data available 2020*</td>
<td>Elevated Chicago Partner Reporting, 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are for Elevated Chicago overall; **28,191 air quality measurements were collected between 7/25/2018 and 8/2/2018 during daytime hours. Longer data collection periods increase the likelihood that the reading is representative of the ambient air quality at this location.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Metric Definition</th>
<th>Logan Square</th>
<th>Chicago Overall</th>
<th>Data Source, Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More transparent decision making and implementation processes</td>
<td>28. Decision making and implementation processes are transparent</td>
<td>Metric in development</td>
<td>Data available 2020</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>SPARCC Evaluation Survey, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption of racial equity principles and priorities across the full built environment decision-making system</td>
<td>29. Diversity, inclusion and equity are promoted in membership, process and outcomes</td>
<td>Average score of Elevated Chicago Steering Committee member responses to the statement: “Please rate the extent to which your table currently promotes diversity, inclusion and equity in membership, process and outcomes.” (1 = needs improvement, 2 = adequate, 3 = good, 4 = outstanding)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3.3 average score*</td>
<td>SPARCC Evaluation Survey, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater availability of capital for low income communities and communities of color</td>
<td>30. Disbursement of capital to low income communities and communities of color</td>
<td>Funding distributed by Elevated Chicago and SPARCC partners to Community Tables</td>
<td>Data available 2020</td>
<td>Data available 2020*</td>
<td>Elevated Chicago Reporting, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater prioritization of affordability and retention in policies and practices</td>
<td>31. Prioritization of affordability and retention in policies and practices</td>
<td>Average score of Elevated Chicago Steering Committee member responses to the statement: “How impactful do you think Elevated Chicago has been so far in advancing its Workplan priority of elevating eTOD and resident/business retention in policy agendas?” (1 = needs improvement, 2 = adequate, 3 = good, 4 = outstanding)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.1 average score*</td>
<td>SPARCC Evaluation Survey, 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are for Elevated Chicago overall